arXiv:1410.2616v1 [hep-ph] 9 Oct 2014

Search for intrinsic charm in vector boson production accompanied by heavy flavor jets

P-H.Beauchemin[1], V.A.Bednyakov[2], G. I. Lykasov[2], Yu.Yu. Stepanenko[2,3]

[1] *Tufts University, Medford, MA, USA*
[2] *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research - Dubna 141980, Moscow region, Russia*
[3] *Gomel State University, Gomel 246019, Republic of Belarus*

Abstract

Up to now, the existence of intrinsic (or valence-like) heavy quark component of the proton distribution functions has not yet been confirmed or rejected. The LHC with pp-collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$–13 TeV can supply us with extra unique information concerning this hypothesis. On the basis of our theoretical studies, it is demonstrated that investigations of the intrinsic heavy quark contributions look very promising in processes like $pp \to Z/W + c(b) + X$. A ratio of $Z+$ heavy jets over $W+$ heavy jets differential cross setion as a function of the leading jet transverse momentum is proposed to maximize the sensitivity to the intrinsic charm component of the proton.

1. Introduction

Parton distribution functions (PDFs) give the probability of finding in a proton a quark or a gluon (parton) with a certain longitudinal momentum fraction at a given resolution scale. The PDF $f_a(x, \mu)$ is thus a function of the proton momentum fraction x carried by the parton a at the QCD scale μ. For small values of μ, corresponding to long distance scales of less than $1/\mu_0$, the PDFs cannot be calculated from the first principles of QCD (although some progresses have been made using the lattice methods [1]). The unknown functions $f_a(x, \mu_0)$ must be found empirically from a phenomenological model fitted to a large variety of data at $\mu > \mu_0$ in a "QCD global analysis" [2, 3]. The PDF $f_a(x, \mu)$ at higher resolution scale $\mu > \mu_0$ can however be calculated from $f_a(x, \mu_0)$ within the perturbative QCD using DGLAP Q^2-evolution equations [4].

The limitation in the accuracy at which PDFs are determined constitutes an important source of systematic uncertainty for Standard Model measurements and for multiple searches for New Physics at hadron colliders. The LHC facility is a laboratory where PDFs can be studied and their description improved. Inclusive $W^{\pm}$ and Z-boson production measurements performed with the ATLAS detector have, for example, introduced a novel sensitivity to the strange quark density at $x \sim 0.01$ [5].

Many pp processes studied at LHC, including Higgs boson production, are sensitive to the strange $f_s(x,\mu)$, charm $f_c(x,\mu)$, and/or bottom $f_b(x,\mu)$ quark distributions. Global analyses usually assume that the heavy flavor content of the proton at $\mu \sim m_{s,c,b}$ is negligible. Theses heavy quark components arise only perturbatively through gluon-splitting as described by the DGLAP Q^2-evolution equations [4]. Direct measurements of the open charm, open bottom and open strangeness in Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS) experiments confirmed the perturbative origin of heavy quark flavors [6]. However, the description of these experimental data is not sensitive to the heavy quark distribution at large x (x >0.1).

Analyzing hadroproduction of the so-called leading hadrons, Brodsky et al. [7, 8] have postulated, about thirty years ago, the co-existence of an *extrinsic* and an *intrinsic* contributions to the quark-gluon structure of the proton. The *extrinsic* (or ordinary) quarks and gluons are generated on a short-time scale associated with large-transverse-momentum processes. Their distribution functions satisfy the standard QCD evolution equations. On the contrary, the *intrinsic* quark and gluon components are assumed to exist over a timescale which is independent of any probed momentum transfer. They can be associated with a bound-state (zero-momentum transfer regime) hadron dynamics and one believes that they have a non-perturbative origin. It was argued in [8] that the existence of *intrinsic* heavy quark pairs $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ within the proton state can be due to the virtue of gluon-exchange and vacuum-polarization graphs.

A few models have been developed on this basis. The total probability of finding a quark from the postulated intrinsic component of the PDF varies with these models. For example, in the MIT bag model [9], the probability of finding a five-quark component $|uudc\bar{c}\rangle$ bounded within the nucleon bag, to which is associated an intrinsic charm component to the PDF, can be of about 1–2%. Another model consider a quasi-two-body state $\bar{D}^0(u\bar{c})\,\bar{\Lambda}_c^+(udc)$ in the proton [10]. In this scenario, the contribution of intrinsic charm (*IC*) to the proton PDF (the weight of the relevant Fock state in the proton) could be as high as 3.5%, with the upper limitation being due to constraints from DIS HERA data [10]–[16]. In these models, the probability of finding an intrinsic bottom state (*IB*) in the proton can also be estimated, but is suppressed by a factor of $m_c^2/m_b^2 \simeq 0.1$ [17] compared to intrinsic charm, where m_c and m_b are respectively the masses of the charm quark ($\simeq$ 1.3 GeV) and of the bottom quark (4.2 GeV).

It was recently shown that the possible existence of intrinsic strangeness in the proton results in a rather satisfactorily description of the HERMES data on $x(f_s(x,Q^2) + f_{\bar{s}}(x,Q^2))$ at x >0.1 and Q^2 =2.5 (GeV/c)^2 [11, 12]. To further test the viability of the intrinsic quark hypothesis, intrinsic charm and bottom contributions must independently be observed in other processes at other experiments. The typical high-Q^2 energy transfer yielded in processes produced in recent high-energy hadron colliders might limit the observability of such phenomena. The percent-level estimations for intrinsic charm contribution to PDF obtained from the theoretical predictions quoted above have been calculated at low Q^2 and are decreasing as Q^2

increases. Recent studies however showed that in high-energy LHC processes where a charm-quark in the initial state leads to an heavy quark in the final state, intrinsic charm contribution to the PDF could lead to an enhanced fraction of heavy meson (e.g. D-meson) in the final state compared to when intrinsic quarks are ignored [13]. This fraction is not independent of the phase space probed. It was for example shown that selecting high-rapidity and large transverse momentum heavy flavored jets enhances the $x > 0.1$ PDF contribution to the cross section in the selected phase space, and thus the intrinsic charm contribution to the observable number of events [13]. Experimental searches for a possible intrinsic charm signal at high-energy hadron collider like the LHC are therefore possible.

Photons produced in association with heavy quarks $Q(\equiv c, b)$ in the final state of pp collision constitute a promising signature to look for intrinsic charm because, in contrary to dijet events where the final state is dominated by gluon-jets or by a gluon splitting into a pair of heavy quarks, many diagrams contain an heavy quark in both the initial and the final states [7]-[16]. Investigations of prompt photon and $c(b)$-jet production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV have been carried out at the TEVATRON [18]-[21]. An excess of $\gamma + c$ events was observed over Standard Model expectations. In particular, it was observed that the ratio of the experimental spectrum of a prompt photon accompanied by a c-jet to the relevant theoretical expectation based on the conventional PDF ignoring *intrinsic* charm monotonically increases with p_T^γ up to a factor of about 3 when p_T^γ reaches 110 GeV/c. While such trend is expected from an intrinsic charm contribution to the PDFs, the magnitude of the effect observed in the data is too large. Taking into account the CTEQ66c PDF, which includes the *IC* contribution obtained within the BHPS model [7, 8] leaves an excess of about 1.5 times the new predictions [22]. First studies of the γ+b-jets $p\bar{p}$-production featured no enhancement in the p_T^γ-spectrum [18, 21]. A new version of such analysis published by the DØ collaboration in 2012 however presents the observation of such an enhancement [19], potentially hinting for an intrinsic bottom contribution to the PDFs. Because of the ambiguity in these results, it becomes imperative to look for intrinsic quarks at the LHC.

Sensitivity studies of an *IC* signal in $pp \to \gamma + c(b) + X$ processes at LHC energies was recently done in [14]. Results indicate that the possible existence of an intrinsic heavy quark component in the proton can be inferred from the measurement of an enhancement (by factor of 2 or 3) of the number of events with a photon of $p_T^\gamma > 150$ GeV/c at high rapidity y_γ in comparison with the relevant number of events expected in the absence of an *IC* contribution. The problem with $pp \to \gamma + c(b) + X$ processes is that it is experimentally difficult to separate the prompt photon contribution from the non-prompt photon one, therefore adding ambiguities in the results of a measurement.

A similar *IC* signal can also be visible in the hard pp processes of vector bosons production accompanied by heavy flavor (b and c) jets in certain kinematic regions. These processes do not feature the problem mentioned above regarding $\gamma + c(b) + X$ processes. In this paper, we study this heavy flavor production in pp collisions at the

LHC energies and discuss the potential observation of an intrinsic charm signal. In particular, we consider the production of the vector bosons $W^{\pm}, Z^0$ accompanied by $b(c)$-jets at large transverse momenta and propose a set of measurements to be performed at the LHC that maximize the sensitivity to the intrinsic quark contribution to the PDFs.

2. Intrinsic charm and beauty contribution to protons in W/Z plus heavy flavor jets at the LHC

At the LHC, with a center of mass energy of $\sqrt{s}$ =7-13 TeV, the typical momentum transfer squared (Q^2) in the hard pp processes of photon or vector boson production accompanied by heavy flavor jets with large transverse momenta is above a few tenth of thousands of (GeV/c)^2. At these scales, the contribution of the intrinsic charm component to the PDF features an enhancement at $x \geq 0.1$, where the corresponding PDFs turn out to be larger (by more than an order of magnitude at $x \sim$ 0.3-0.4) than the sea (*extrinsic*) charm density distribution in the proton [14, 16]. This can be seen in Fig. 1 where we compare the charm distribution in proton for two values of Q^2 (Q^2 = 20 000 (GeV/c)^2 and Q^2 = 150 000 (GeV/c)^2) for a PDF, CTEQ66c, which includes an *IC* component, and another one, CTEQ66, including only the extrinsic quark contribution. From the figure, one can also see that the high-Q^2 dependence of $xc(x, Q^2)$ does not affect much the PDF values at $x > 0.1$.

The sensitivity studies performed with $\gamma + c(b) + X$ processes in the context of the LHC demonstrated that with the appropriate phase space selections on the final state photon and heavy flavor jet, one can select a large fraction of events with $x_c >$0.1, and thus substantially intensifies the intrinsic charm PDF contribution to charm hadroproduction, enough for being able to see a signal when compared to extrinsic contribution only [14].

In particular, it was shown that in at least one of the colliding protons the momentum fraction of c-quark x_c should be not less than the Feynman variable of photon x_F^{γ}, i.e.,

$$x_c \geq x_F^{\gamma} = \frac{2p_T^{\gamma}}{\sqrt{s} sinh(\eta_{\gamma})} \ , \tag{1}$$

where p_T^{γ} is the transverse momentum of photon and η_{γ} is its pseudo-rapidity. Therefore, at $x_F^{\gamma} \geq 0.1$, or equivalently at the charm momentum fraction $x_c > 0.1$ the *intrinsic* charm distribution substantially intensifies the charm PDF contribution to the process $pp \to \gamma + c + X$ (see Fig. 1). As a result, the p_T^{γ}-spectrum will feature a significant enhancement with respect to the expected spectrum with non-intrinsic charm contribution in that region of the p_T^{γ}, η_{γ} phase space where $x_F^{\gamma} \geq 0.1$ in accordance to (1).

Such region can be selected, for example, by requiring a prompt photon and a final state c-jet with rapidity close to the pseudo-rapidity η_{γ} at large p_T^{γ} of respec-



Figure 1: Distributions of the charm quark in the proton. The solid line is the standard perturbative sea charm density distribution $xc_{\rm rg}(x)$ at $Q^2 = 20000$ GeV ^{2}, while the long dashed line is for $Q^2 = 150000$ GeV ^{2}. The dashed curve corresponds to the charm quark distribution function for the sum of the intrinsic charm density $xc_{\rm in}(x)$ and $xc_{\rm rg}(x)$ at $Q^2 = 20000$ GeV ^{2}, while the short dashed line is for $Q^2 = 150000$ GeV ^{2} [16].

tively $1.5 <| y_\gamma | < 2.4$ and $| y_c | < 2.4$, and by imposing large transverse momenta cuts (> 150 GeV) to the photon and to the heavy flavor jet. The observation of an excess of events selected in this phase space region compared to the standard non-IC component would thus provide a compiling evidence for the existence of intrinsic charm, and could be use to estimate the increase in the PDF due to intrinsic charm as a function of x.

This strategy can equally be applied to test and measure the intrinsic heavy quark contribution to the production of vector bosons $W^\pm, Z^0$ accompanied by heavy flavor jets (Q_f-jets, with $Q_f = s, c, b$). In these events, the intrinsic quark component would receive its main contribution from $Q_f(\bar{Q}_f) + g \to W^\pm/Z^0 + Q'_f(\bar{Q}'_f)/Q_f(\bar{Q}_f)$ processes for which the LO QCD diagrams are presented in Figs. 2 and 3, in the case of the Z and W production, respectively. Here $Q'_f = c, s, c$ if $Q_f = s, c, b$, respectively.

At NLO in QCD, $W/Z + Q_f$ diagrams, often more complicated than the ones presented in Figs. (2,3), must also be considered. As can be seen in Fig. 4, the heavy flavor jets in the final state of these diagrams come from a gluon splitting somewhere

along the event chain, and does thus not feature any intrinsic quark contribution. If the cross sections of these diagrams is large enough, the conclusions about the sensitivity of a measurement to intrinsic charm will be affected. It is thus important to consider QCD NLO calculations in the current study.

To this end, we calculated the p_T-spectra of heavy flavor jets (b and c) in association with a vector boson produced at NLO in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ =8, 13 TeV using the parton level Monte Carlo (MC) generator MCFM version 6.7 [23]. For the various processes considered, the vector boson is required to decay leptonically, in order to allow experimental studied to trigger on these events, and the pseudo-rapidity of the heavy quark jet is required to satisfy $\eta > 1.52$, to probe high-x PDFs.

By selecting $Z + c$-jet events, where the c-jet is required to be rather forward ($1.5 <\mid y_c \mid < 2.0$), we can see on the left panel of Fig. 5 that the c-jet transverse momentum spectrum of events with a 3.5% intrinsic charm contribution to the PDF (CTEQ66c) features an excess, increasing with the c-jet p_T, compared to the corresponding differential cross section when only extrinsic components of the PDF are considered (CTEQ66). These differential cross section distributions have been obtained at NLO from the MCFM process 262. From the right panel of the same figure, showing the ratio of the two spectra obtained with and without IC contribution, we can see that the excess in the c-jet p_T spectrum due to IC is of $\sim 5\%$ for p_T of 50 GeV, and rises to about 220% for $p_T \sim 300$ GeV. This effect can thus be observed at the LHC if the c-jet p_T differential cross section in $Z + c$ events can be measured with sufficient precision.

In the case of the W production in association with heavy flavor jets, the intrinsic charm contribution would be observed in a $W + b$-jet final state due to the change of flavor in the charged current. In MCFM, the NLO $W + b$ Feynman diagrams for which the LO part is depicted in Fig. 3, correspond to the processes 12 and 17 [23]. They provide the contribution to $W + Q'$ which is sensitive to IC. The p_T spectrum of the b-jet is presented, for the sum of these processes, in Fig. 6 (left), where one calculation (squares) has been obtained at NLO in QCD with the CTEQ66c PDF that includes an IC contribution (about 3.5%), and the other calculation (triangles) uses the CTEQ66 PDF, which does not include IC. On the right panel of Fig. 6, the ratio of these two spectra with and without an IC contribution to the PDF used in the $W + b$ production calculations is presented. From this figure, one can see that the inclusion of the IC contribution to the PDF leads to an increase in the b-jet spectrum by a factor of about 2-2.5 at $p_T^{jet} > 250$ GeV/c. This is comparable to what was observed in the $Z + c$ case of Fig. 5.

Similarly, the $W + c$ final state would be sensitive to intrinsic strange while the $Z + b$ final state would be sensitive to intrinsic bottom. These processes are however suboptimal for finding intrinsic quarks at the LHC. As mentioned above, the contribution of the IB to the PDF is suppressed by a factor of $(\frac{m_c}{m_b})^2$ and is thus subdominant compared to intrinsic charm. The contribution of the intrinsic strangeness (IS) can be of the same order of magnitude as the IC according to [11, 12]. The Q^2 evolution for this component has however not been calculated up to



Figure 2: LO Feynman diagrams for the process $Q_f(\bar{Q}_f)g \to ZQ_f(\bar{Q}_f)$



Figure 3: Example of an LO Feynman diagram for the process $Q_f(\bar{Q}_f)g \to W^{\pm}Q'_f(\bar{Q}'_f)$, where $Q_f = c, b$ and $Q'_f = b, c$ respectively.

now, and thus contains many unknown. This is why this paper concentrate on the intrinsic charm component of the proton.

The above results of Figs. 5 and 6 seem a priori very encouraging regarding the capacity of the LHC to provide an observation of an intrinsic charm contribution to the PDFs in $W/Z + Q_f$ events, but the real situation is unfortunately more complex than this. The W boson plus one or more b-quark jets production, calculated at NLO in the 4-flavor scheme (4FNS), for which two of the diagrams are represented in Fig. 4 must also be included. These corresponds to the MCFM processes 401/406 and 402/407 [23]. Their total cross section is about 50 times larger that the $W + b$ processes sensitive to *IC*. As a result, the total $W+b$ production is not sensitive to an intrinsic charm component of the PDF, as can be seen in Fig. 7, where the sum of all processes contributing to $W+b$ have been taken. Fortunately the $Z+c$ processes do not suffer from a similar large dilution of the intrinsic quark component because the $Q_f + g \to Z + Q_f$ processes, which are sensitive to *IC*, are not Cabibbo-suppressed.

Another difficulty consists in the experimental identification of heavy flavor jets in order to select, for example, Z + c-jet events in a very large Z+jets sample. Algorithms typically disentangling heavy flavor jets from light-quark jets exploit



Figure 4: Some NLO Feynman diagrams for the process $Q_f(\bar{Q}_f)g \to W^{\pm}Q'_f(\bar{Q}'_f)$, where $Q_f = c, b$ and $Q'_f = b, c$ respectively. Left: gluon-splitting; Right: t-channel type of W-scattering with one gluon exchange in the intermediate state.



Figure 5: Left: Comparison of the p_T-spectra for the NLO $pp \to Z + c$ process 262 [23] obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Right: Ratio of these two spectra.

the longer lifetime of heavy-quark hadrons that decay away from the primary vertex of the main process, but close enough to allow for a reconstruction of the tracks of the decay products of the heavy-flavor hadron in the inner part of the detector. Such algorithms are typically not capable of explicitly distinguishing c-jets from b-jets; only the efficiency for identifying the heavy flavor nature of the jet would differ between c-jets and b-jets. For example, one of the ATLAS heavy-flavor tagging algorithm (MV1) yields an efficiency of 85% for b-jet identification and 50% for c-jet



Figure 6: Left: Comparison of the p_T-spectra for the NLO $pp \to W + b$, processes 12+17 [23] obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Right: Ratio of these two spectra.

(for a working point where the light flavor rejection is 10) [24]. As a result of such heavy flavor jet tagging algorithm, the selected $Z + Q$ final state will be a mixture of $Z + c$ and $Z + b$.

A priori, one would expect that $Z + b$ events are sensitive to intrinsic bottom and therefore act as a background to intrinsic charm studies, when the two processes cannot be experimentally distinguished. The situation is however more complicated than this. Because of sum rules, an intrinsic charm component would affect the total b-quark contribution to the proton, and the $Z + b$-jet final state therefore becomes sensitive to intrinsic charm as well. As can be seen in Fig. 8, this contribution is in the opposite direction of the intrinsic charm effect on $Z + c$ processes presented in Fig. 5. In addition, the heavy flavor tagging efficiency is lower for c-jets than it is for b-jets, therefore increasing the weight of the negative $Z + b$ contribution to the total Z plus heavy flavor tagged jets signal. The question is thus: are $Z + Q$-jet events still sensitive to intrinsic charm?

To answer this question, we once again used MCFM to calculate the p_T spectra of the heavy flavor jets at NLO for $Z+c$ (processes 262, 267) and for $Z+b$ (processes 261, 266) [23]. This includes the contribution from both heavy flavor scattering and pair production from gluon-splitting. In all processes, the Z-boson is required to decay leptonically, and a pseudo-rapidity cut of $\eta > 1.52$ is applied on the heavy flavor jets. We also applied the b-tagging and c-jet tagging efficiency on the corresponding jet, as a function of the p_T of the jet, as reported in [24]. The resulting spectrum from all the processes has then been summed. In Fig. 9, we can see that despite the negative contribution of $Z + b$ processes and the effect of heavy flavor tagging efficiency, the contribution of intrinsic charm has a significant impact on the shape and normalization of the heavy flavor jet spectrum, suggesting that it can be tested



Figure 7: Left: Comparison of the p_T-spectra for the NLO $pp \to W + b + jet$ processes 401/406 and 402/407 [23] obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Right: Ratio of these two spectra.



Figure 8: Left: Comparison of the p_T-spectra for the total NLO $pp \to Z + b$ process 261 obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Right: Ratio of these two spectra.

at the LHC.

In order to be able to observe and quantify the intrinsic charm contribution to the proton, the size of the effect presented in Fig. 9 must be larger than the total systematic uncertainty in each bin of the measured heavy flavor jet spectrum. The experimental uncertainties on background estimates, jet energy scale and resolution effects, and heavy-quark tagging efficiency are typically large, as can be inferred from the latest ATLAS [25, 26] and CMS [27, 28] publications on $Z + b$ and $W + b$



Figure 9: Left: Comparison of the p_T-spectra for the total NLO $pp \to Z + b(\bar{b})$ process plus $pp \to Z + c(\bar{c})$ (processes 261,262,266,267 [23]) obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Heavy flavor jet tagging efficiencies have been applied to the c-jets and the b-jets. Right: Ratio of these two spectra.

measurements. Recently, ATLAS published a measurement of W+jets to Z+jets differential cross section ratio as a function of a plethora of observables [29]. The results indicate a substantial reduction of the main systematic uncertainties with respect to the absolute differential cross section measurements also performed by the ATLAS Collaboration [30, 31]. This strategy to offset systematic uncertainties could be exploited to measure intrinsic charm contribution to the proton, if the sensitivity to intrinsic charm is not washed out by the ratio.

To test this, similarly as what was done for $Z + Q$, we used MCFM to calculate, at NLO in QCD, the p_T spectra of the leading heavy flavor jets $(b + c)$ produced in association with $W^{\pm}$ boson in hard pp $\sqrt{s}$ =8 TeV collisions. The Wb, Wc, and Wbj contributions of MCFM (processes 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 401, 402, 406 and 407) have been summed and the b-jet and c-jet tagging efficiencies have been applied. In all cases, the W-boson is decaying leptonically and is required to satisfy $1.52 < \eta_W < 2.4$. As can be seen in Fig. 10, comparing the heavy flavor jet p_T spectra when intrinsic charm is included or not in the PDF (CTEQ66c vs CTEQ66), the sensitivity of $W + Q$ to intrinsic charm is small. Taking the ratio of $Z + Q$ to $W + Q$ should therefore not smear out the effects observed in $Z + Q$ alone. To verify this, the ratio of the p_T spectra of the leading heavy flavor jet (b/c) produced in $Zb + Zc + Zb(+b) + Zc(+c)$ and $Wb + Wc + Wbj$ processes has been calculated using the PDF with the IC and without the IC contribution and is presented in Fig. 11. As can be seen in this figure, the IC signal observed in $Z + Q$ is not affected by the ratio, which can amount to about 200 % of the extrinsic only contribution at p_T^{jet} of about 270-300 GeV/c. This ratio measurement would likely to, at least, partially cancel a number of large experimental systematic uncertainties, especially

since in our proposal, $V + c$-jets and $V + b$-jets are both considered as signal and not treated as a background with respect to the other. This would allow for a clear signal at the LHC, if the IC contribution is sufficiently high (here we considered a 3.5% contribution).



Figure 10: Left: Comparison of the p_T-spectra for the total NLO $pp \to W + b$ plus $pp \to W + c$ plus $pp \to W + bj$ processes (12,17,13,18,401,402,406,407 [23]) obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Heavy flavor jet tagging efficiencies have been applied to the c-jets and the b-jets. Right: Ratio of these two spectra.

3. Conclusion

In this paper we have shown that the possible existence of an intrinsic heavy quark component to the proton can be seen not only in the forward open heavy flavor production of pp-collisions (as it was believed before) but it can also be observed in the semi-inclusive pp-production of massive vector bosons in association with heavy flavor jets (b, and c). In particular, it was shown that the IC contribution can produce much more $Z + c$-jet events (factor 1.5 – 2) than what is predicted from extrinsic contribution to PDF alone, when the heavy flavor jet has a transverse momentum of $p_T^{jet} > 100$ GeV/c and a pseudo-rapidity satisfying $1.5 < |\eta_{jet}| < 2.4$. We then showed that this conclusion stays true when the $Z + b$ negative contribution and the inefficiencies in the experimental identification of heavy flavor jets are taken into account.

We then showed that because of the dominant contribution of gluon-splitting processes, the production of W-bosons accompanied by heavy flavor jets is not sensitive to intrinsic quarks. We took advantage of this to propose a promising measurement that reduces the expected systematic uncertainties on the measurement results com-



Figure 11: Left: Comparison of the ratio of the p_T-spectra for $Z+Q$ to $W+Q$ NLO processes obtained with PDF including an intrinsic charm component (CTEQ66c) and PDF having only an extrinsic component (CTEQ66). Heavy flavor jet tagging efficiencies have been applied to the c-jets and the b-jets. Right: Ratio of these two ratios of spectra.

pared to a differential cross section measurement of the heavy flavor jet spectrum in $Z+Q$ events. The idea is to use the ratio of the leading heavy flavor spectra in inclusive heavy flavor $Z+Q$ to $W+Q$ events to verify the predictions about an IC contribution to the proton. Such measurements can already be made with ATLAS and CMS available data.

Acknowledgments

We thank S.J. Brodsky and A.A. Glasov for extremely helpful discussions and recommendations by the study of this topic. The authors are grateful to H. Jung, A.V. Lipatov, V.A.M. Radescu, A. Cooper-Sarkar and N.P. Zotov for very useful discussions and comments. This research was supported by the Russia RFBR grant No. 13-02001060 (Lykasov) and by the USA DOE grant Proposal ID: 0000209063 (Beauchemin).

References

[1] J.W.Negele *et al.,* Nucl.Phys. B [Proc.Suppl.] **128**, (2004) 170; W.Schroers, Nucl. Phys. A **755** (2005) 333.

[2] J.Pumplin, D.R.Stump, J.Huston, H.L.Lai, P.Nadolsky and W.K.Tung, J. High Energy Physics **07** (2002) 012; D.R.Stump, J.Huston. J.Pumplin, W.K.Tung, H.L.Lai, S.Kuhlmann and J.F.Owens, J. High Energy Physics **10** (2003) 046.

[3] R.S.T *o*rne, A.d.Martin, W.G.Stirling, and R,G.Roberts, arXiv:04073 [hep-ph0].

[4] V.N. Gribov and L.N. Lipatov, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. **15** (1972) 438; G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl.Phys. B **126** (1997) 298; Yu.L. Dokshitzer, Sov.Phys. JETP **46** (1977) 641.

[5] The ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 012001 (2012)

[6] A.Aktas, *etal.,* (H1 Collaboration), Eur.Phys. J.C40, (2005) 349; arXiv:0507081 [hep-ex].

[7] Brodsky S., Hoyer P., Peterson C., Sakai N., Phys.Lett. B **93** (1980) 451.

[8] Brodsky S., Peterson C., Sakai N., Phys.Rev. D, **23** (1981) 2745.

[9] J.F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, Phys.Rev.D **15** (1977) 3421.

[10] Pumplin J., Phys.Rev. D **73** (2006) 114015.

[11] Jen-Chien Peng, Wen-Chen Chang, Sixth Intern. Conf., on Quarks and Nuclear Physics, Apriel 16-20, 2012, Ecole Polytechnique Palaiseau, Paris, arXiv:1207.2193 [hep-ph].

[12] G.I.Lykasov, I.V.Bednyakov, M.A.Demichev, Yu.Yu.Stepanenko, Nucl.Phys. B [Proc.Suppl.] 245 (2013) 215.

[13] G.I.Lykasov, V.A.Bednyakov, A.F.Pikelner and N.I.Zimin, Eur.Phys.Lett. **99** (2012) 21002; arXiv:1205.1131v2 [hep-ph].

[14] V.A.Bednyakov, M.A.Demichev, G.I.Lykasov, T.Stavreva, M.Stockton, Phys.Lett.B **728** (2014) 602.

[15] Pumplin J., Lai H., Tung W., Phys.Rev. D **75** (2007) 054029.

[16] Nadolsky P. M., *et. al.,* Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 013004.

[17] Polyakov M. V., Schafer A., Teryaev O. V., Phys.Rev. D **60** (1999) 051502.

[18] V.M.Abazov *et al.,* Phys.Rev.Lett. **102** (2009) 192002; arXiv:0901.0739 [hep-ex].

[19] V.M.Abazov *et al.,* Phys.Lett. B **714** (2012) 32; arXiv:1203.5865 [hep-ex].

[20] V.M.Abazov *et al.,* Phys.Lett. B **719** (2013) 32; arXiv:1210.5033 [hep-ex].

[21] T.Altonen *et al.,* Phys.Rev. D81 (2010) 052006; arXiv:0912.3453 [hep-ex].

[22] T.P. Stavreva, and J.F. Owens, Phys.Rev. D **79** (2009) 054017; arXiv:0901.3791 [hep-ph].

[23] J.M. Campbell and R.K. Ellis, Phys. Rev. **D** 65 (2002) 113007; http://mcfm.fnal.gov/

[24] The ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Note, ATLAS-CONF-2013-109; 21/02/2014; ATLAS-CONF-2014-046, 06 July 2014.

[25] The ATLAS Collaboration, CERN-PH-EP-2014-118, submitted to JHEP (2014).

[26] The ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP **06**, 084 (2013).

[27] The CMS Collaboration, JHEP **1406**, 120 (2014)

[28] The CMS Collaboration, PLB **735**, 204 (2014)

[29] The ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B**708**, 221-240 (2012); The ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-COM-CONF-2014-049, Submitted to EPJC (2014).

[30] The ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-COM-CONF-2014-050, Submitted to EPJC (2014).

[31] The ATLAS Collaboration, JHEP **07**, 032 (2013).